Content list available at http://epubs.icar.org.in, www.kiran.nic.in; ISSN: 0970-6429



### **Indian Journal of Hill Farming**

December 2023, Volume 36, Issue 2, Pages 97-104

# Effect of forest litter on the important soil properties and performance of upland rice in Nagaland

Wonchibeni N. Odyuo • Manoj Dutta • Alongba Jamir\*

ABSTRACT

Department of Soil and Water conservation, School of Agricultural Sciences and Rural Development, Nagaland University, Medziphema Campus - 797106, India.

### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received: 16 May, 2023 Revision: 14 November, 2023 Accepted: 22 November, 2023

Key words: Forest litter, Upland rice, Soil properties, Yield, Tillers

DOI: 10.56678/iahf-2023.36.02.11

This research was conducted at the experimental plot in School of Agricultural Sciences and Rural Development (SASRD), Soil & Water Conservation Department, Nagaland University from 2019-2020, as a continuation of a field research carried out in the year 2018, with an objective of analysing the effect of forest litter on important soil properties and performance of upland rice. The research was based on a split plot design with two factors having four replications. The treatments adopted were incorporation of forest litter @ 0, 3, 6 and 9 t ha-1 at 45 and 30 days before sowing (DBS). The amount of applied forest litter significantly increased organic carbon, available NPK, soil pH, cation exchange capacity and bulk density. The maximum organic carbon, available NPK, soil pH, cation exchange capacity and bulk density was observed when forest litter was added @ 9 t ha<sup>-1</sup> at 45 DBS. With respect to the growth and its attributes, a significant increase in the plant height, number of tiller, grain yield and straw yield was observed. The maximum plant height, number of tillers, grain yield and straw yield of rice was recorded when forest litter was applied at a rate of 9 t ha<sup>-1</sup> at 45 DBS. The incorporation of forest litter at 45 days before sowing @ 9 t ha<sup>-1</sup> provided a significant boosts to nutrient content, improves soil properties and provides better grain and straw yield.

### 1. Introduction

Rice (*Oryza sativa*) is a starchy cereal crop and is the staple food for a large portion of the world's human population, especially those of Asia, Latin America, parts of Africa and the Middle East (Muthayya *et al.*, 2014). Upland rice is grown under rainfed conditions in well prepared fields. They are seeded under dry conditions, like those of maize or wheat. Almost two-thirds of the upland rice cultivated area is in Asia among which Cambodia, China and India are major producers. Upland rice farming areas are facing new challenges, as some of the world's poorest farmers try to earn their living from soils that are undergoing rapid degradation. The uplands are by default susceptible to drought, low fertility status, weed infestation and plant diseases.

The forest floor, also called as detritus or the O horizon is one of the most distinctive features of the forest ecosystem. It is principally composed of non-living organic material inhabiting millions of beneficial microbes aiding in decomposition of organic residues. In Nagaland, with respect to forest cover as percentage of total geographical area, 73.90% is under forest (Forest Survey, 2021). Forest litter consisting mainly of fallen leaves, branches, twigs, barks and stems existing in various stages of decomposition, plays a major role in maintaining the fertility status of the soil. Organic matter is one of the major factors that controls the soil aggregates stability, acts as a binding and cementing material and promotes the microbial activity in soil that is responsible for formation of stable soil aggregates. The stability of soil aggregates increases with increase in organic matter content. The high macro porosity and permeability of these aggregates reduces runoff and soil erosion rates.

It is a well-known fact that, rice has been in cultivation for long in the state of Nagaland. Yet, not much research has been conducted emphasizing on the study and analysis of the effects of locally available resources like forest litter. Therefore, a proper study on the influence of forest litter on important soil properties which can enhance the performance of rice was found crucial.

Indian Jo of Hill Far

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: jamiralong23@gmail.com

#### 2. Materials and methods

The experiment was conducted during the kharif season of 2019 and the upland rice variety used is Likhimo, on the experimental plot of the Department of Soil and Water Conservation, SASRD, Nagaland University. The research was laid on a split plot design with two factors having four replications. Out of the entire field, four main plots were created, which was further sub-divided into eight sub-plots, each measuring 2m x 3m. Treatments were given in a randomized manner into the sub-plots of each main plot. The treatments adopted were incorporation of forest litter (a, 0, 3, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ..., 0, ...6 and 9 t ha<sup>-1</sup> at 45 and 30 days before sowing (DBS). The treatment details were as follows, Factor-1: Time of application of forest litter and following are the treatments were D<sub>1</sub>: 45 DBS and D<sub>2</sub>: 30 DBS. In Factor-2: Dose of application of forest litter and the following are the treatments were F<sub>0</sub>: 0 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, F<sub>1</sub>: 3 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, F<sub>2</sub>: 6 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and F<sub>3</sub>: 9 t ha-1. This research was carried out on a patch of land which was earlier used for upland rice cultivation giving the same treatments.

Collection of soil samples was done once before incorporation of forest litter and another after the crop was harvested to evaluate its physico-chemical properties. The soil pH was determined using Hanna® pocket meter in 1:2.5 ratio of soil water suspension. The soil samples were tested for their organic carbon content using wet digestion method of Walkey and Black as described by Jackson (1973). Following Ammonium Potassium Permanganate method with Kelplus Nitrogen Estimation system by Subbiah and Asija (1956), the available nitrogen content in the soil samples was determined. The available phosphorus in the soil was extracted using 0.03 NH<sub>4</sub>F in 0.025 HCl solutions, which is the procedure applied to strongly acidic soil (Brays & Kurtz, 1945). Using neutral normal ammonium acetate (pH 7.0), the available potassium content in the soil was extracted. The extract was then fitted in a flame photometer to determine the potassium content (Jackson, 1973). Pycnometer method was followed to determine the bulk density of the soil (Majumdar & Singh, 2000). The statistical analysis of all the experimental data were carried out following standard procedure i.e., Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for two Split Plot Design as given by Gomez and Gomez (1984).

### 3. Results and Discussions 3.1 Effect of forest litter on soil fertility

**3.1.1.** Soil organic carbon: The organic carbon content of the soil samples was recorded to be within the range of 2.99 to 3.42%. Incorporation of forest litter @ 3, 6 and 9 t ha<sup>-1</sup> showed a significant increase in organic carbon content of the soil at a rate of 4.35, 6.35 and 14.38%, respectively. Application of forest litter @ 9 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (3.42%) i.e.,  $D_1F_3$ 

resulted in maximum organic carbon content while control i.e.,  $D_1F_0$  (2.99%) showed minimum organic carbon content. Also, over control, application of forest litter @ 3 and 6 t ha<sup>-1</sup> showed a significant increase in organic carbon content. The increase in soil organic carbon is due to the application of organic matter which contains carbon and also the organic matter which later converts into soil organic matter. Such similar findings were reported by Rao *et al.* (2004), Singh *et al.* (2006) and Singh and Chandra (2011).

3.1.2. Available nitrogen: When the interaction among treatments were compared, a significant increase in nitrogen content (20.80%) was observed when forest litter was applied at 45 DBS as compared to that of 30 DBS. Incorporation of forest litter at 45 DBS, showed a higher level of available nitrogen (577.80 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) as compared to incorporation at 30 DBS (556.20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), which is 3.60% higher. Application of forest litter at 3, 6 and 9 t ha<sup>-1</sup> showed a significant increase in available nitrogen by 4.69, 11.44 and 29.69%, respectively as compared to the control plot. The highest level of nitrogen content was observed in  $D_1F_3$  (669.53 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), where forest litter was applied at 45 DBS @ 9 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, while the lowest value was obtained from control plot (490.60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The increase in available nitrogen in soils at 45 DBS could be due to the incorporation of the forest litter prior to the other treatments, which resulted in more microbial activity then the rest of the treatments, consequently it may have lead to improve the nitrification process. Bahadur et al. (2013) reported similar findings on incorporation of organic matter to the soil.

**3.1.3.** Available phosphorus: The level of phosphorus content in control was found to be 20.37 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, while it was 22.96 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in F<sub>1</sub> i.e., where forest litter was applied at 3 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, 24.65 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in F<sub>2</sub> where forest litter was applied @ 6 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and 25.40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in F<sub>3</sub> i.e., where forest litter was applied @ 9 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. In the interaction of the two factors, the maximum level of phosphorus was observed in D<sub>1</sub>F<sub>3</sub> (25.31 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) i.e., where forest litter was applied the minimum level was seen in control (20.14 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Irrespective of different amount of forest litter application there was significant increase in the available phosphorus whereas the interaction of time and dose of application treatments were found to be non-significant. Bahadur *et al.* (2013) reported similar improvement in the soil available phosphorus when higher level of crop residues was added.

**3.1.4** Available potassium: On application of forest litter at 45 DBS, the available potassium content in the soil was comparatively higher by 0.65% ( $D_1$  @ 163.73 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) than when forest litter was applied at 30 DBS ( $D_2$  @ 162.67 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The highest level of available potassium content was found in  $D_1F_3$  (193.09 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> while, the minimum value was

recorded from control (137.88 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Addition of forest litter showed a significant increase in available potassium over control. Also, the available potassium was found to be higher than  $F_0$  by 11.36, 20.80 and 37.65% in  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$  and  $F_3$ , respectively. The increase in available potassium was because the organic sources usually tend to have a large cation exchange capacity which enables them to retain K<sup>+</sup> effectively. Therefore, when higher dose of organic materials was added to the soil, higher levels of available potassium were observed over control which is support of the findings of Surekha *et al.* (2004).

## 3.2 Effect of forest litter on physico-chemical properties of soil

3.2.1. Soil pH: The pH of the soil increased with the increase in the dose of application of forest litter, and was recorded in the range of 4.98 to 5.28. However, no significant variation was observed between  $D_1$  and  $D_2$ . The plot where forest litter was incorporated @ 9 t ha -1 showed a significant increase in pH by 4.59% as compared with the control plot. Also, an increase in pH at a rate of 1.8 and 2.99% was observed where forest litter was incorporated @ 3 and 6 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The highest pH was observed in D<sub>1</sub>F<sub>3</sub>, where forest litter was incorporated at 45 DBS at the rate of 9 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, while the lowest pH was recorded from controlled plot, however in the treatment combinations there was no significant increase or decrease in soil pH. Noble et al. (1996) also stated that addition of leaf litter increased the soil pH in acidic soil. Significant increase in soil pH on addition of forest litter burned and FYM was also reported by Humtsoe and Chauhan (2005). More (1994) and Dutta et al. (2013) reported similar improvements in the pH with the incorporation of organic residues.

3.2.2. Cation exchange capacity (CEC): D<sub>1</sub> i.e., where forest litter was applied at 45 DBS was observed to have slightly higher CEC [(17.98 c mol  $(p^+)$  kg<sup>-1</sup>)] as compared to that of D<sub>2</sub>, where the forest litter was applied just 30 DBS [(17.63 c  $mol(p^+) kg^{-1}$ ]. In comparison to control plot, higher value of CEC was observed when forest litter was applied @ 3, 6 and 9 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, and the increase was found to be by 8.19, 15.86 and 27.79%, respectively. Also, the maximum CEC was observed when forest litter was applied @ 9 t ha-1 at 45 days before sowing [(20.48 c mol (p<sup>+</sup>) kg<sup>-1</sup>)], while it was minimum in control [ $(15.55 \text{ c mol } (p^+) \text{ kg}^{-1})$ ]. Though, there was significant increase in different doses of application of forest litter, CEC did not show any significant difference in the Factor-1 and treatment combinations. The increase in CEC of the soil maybe due to the rise in humus content which is caused by the decomposition of organic matter i.e. forest litter, that leads to increase in the negative charge on the organic colloid of the soil, which in turn contribute to the rise

in cation exchange capacity of the soil. Similar findings were reported by Babhulkar *et al.* (2000) and Selvi *et al.* (2003) who stated that application of NPK fertilizers along with organic residues cause increase in CEC of soil.

3.2.3. Bulk density (g cm<sup>-3</sup>): D<sub>1</sub> showed slightly lower bulk density (1.05 g cm<sup>-3</sup>) than  $D_2$  (1.06 g cm<sup>-3</sup>). The bulk density of the soil was found to be 1.10 g cm<sup>-3</sup> (F<sub>0</sub>) in control plot, followed by 1.07, 1.04 and 0.99 gcm<sup>-3</sup> in  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$  and  $F_3$ , respectively. It was observed that, with the increase in the addition of forest litter, the bulk density decreased rapidly at the rate of 2.73, 5.45 and 10.00% when forest litter was incorporated @ 3, 6 and 9 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. It was observed that with the addition of forest litter, the bulk density of the soil decreased significantly. The decrease in bulk density could be due to the increase in organic C content of the soil. Similar records were also indicated by Bajpai et al. (2006), who reported that application of crop residue as a substitute of N significantly reduced the bulk density of the soil. Celik (2005), reported similar decline in bulk density with the addition of organic matter into the soil.

### 3.3 Effect of forest litter on growth, yield and yield attributes of upland rice

**3.3.1.** *Plant height:* At 30 days after sowing,  $D_1$  showed a higher plant height (37.25 cm) than  $D_2$  (37.07 cm), a difference of 0.49%. Also, a significant increase in plant height was observed when higher dose of forest litter was applied. Plant height was highest when forest litter was applied at a rate of 9 t ha<sup>-1</sup> at 45 days before sowing (41.91 cm) while it was lowest when application of forest litter was fully avoided i.e., control (32.46 cm). The rate of increase in plant height with respect to the controlled plot was found to be 9.56, 19.10 and 28.35% when forest litter was added @ 3, 6 and 9 t ha<sup>-1</sup>.

At 60 days after sowing, application of forest litter @ 3, 6 and 9 t ha<sup>-1</sup> showed a significant increase in plant height by 10.33, 18.84 and 26.12%, when compared with the controlled plot. At 90 days after sowing, it was observed that  $D_1$  was at a plant height of 101.42 cm while  $D_2$  was observed to have a plant height of 101.40 cm. With respect to the controlled plot (95.99 cm), the plant height was seen to be at 98.41, 102.44 and 108.79 cm when forest litter was applied at 3, 6 and 9 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, showing a significant increase rate of 2.52, 6.72 and 13.33% respectively.

Maximum plant height was observed in  $D_1F_3$  i.e., when forest litter was applied at a rate of 9 t ha<sup>-1</sup> at 45 days before sowing (109.83 cm) while it was minimum when application of forest litter was fully avoided i.e., controlled (95.78 cm). It was observed that, with the increase in the addition of forest litter, the plant height increased proportionately i.e.,  $F_0 < F_1 < F_2 < F_3$ . This increase in plant height could be due to the higher dose of application of forest litter. Adekiya *et al.* (2019) reported that the incorporation of organic matter in the soil increases the plant height. Dass *et al.* (2009) also opined that application of 50.00% of recommended dose of fertilizer along with organic matter showed the highest plant height of upland rice.

3.3.2. Number of tillers plant<sup>-1</sup>: The number of tillers was recorded to be in the range of 3.13 - 4.75 in the treatment combinations. Maximum number of tillers was observed in  $D_1F_3$  (4.75 tillers) while it was minimum in control (3.13 tillers plant<sup>-1</sup>). The number of tillers borne by the plants in  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$  and  $F_3$  where forest litter was applied @ 3, 6 and 9 t ha <sup>-1</sup> was recorded to be 3.63, 4.19 and 4.56 on an average while it was 3.19 in control. The rate of number of tillers with respect to the controlled plot was recorded to be higher by 13.79, 31.35 and 42.95%, respectively. Lubis et al. (2020) also corroborated that provision of organic material increased the number of tillers in upland rice in Cengkeh Turi Binjai. The above study was also supported by Ginting et al. (2014) as they concluded that soil tillage 2 times with 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> of organic matter gave the highest effect on the number of tillers per hill.

3.3.3. Grain yield (t ha<sup>-1</sup>): It was observed that D<sub>1</sub> i.e., when forest litter was applied at 45 DBS gave a higher grain yield  $(2.57 \text{ t ha}^{-1})$  than D<sub>2</sub> i.e., forest litter was applied just 30 days before sowing (2.50 t ha<sup>-1</sup>). Maximum grain yield was obtained when forest litter was applied @ 9 t ha<sup>-1</sup> at 45 DBS (2.86 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) while the lowest grain yield was obtained from control (2.23 t ha<sup>-1</sup>). The present findings are in agreement with Sarwad et al. (2005) who cited that the increase in the grain yield could be due to the amalgamate effect of improvement in biological and physical properties of soil and nutrient supply. This was also supported by Eliartati et al. (2021) as they concluded that use of different kinds of compost to the soil enhances the growth and yield of upland rice. Similarly, Dass et al. (2009) also documented that the application of Gliricidia, Azotobactor, phosphate solubilising bacteria and 50.00% of recommended dose of fertilizer resulted in the highest grain and straw yield of upland rice.

**3.3.4.** Straw yield (t ha<sup>-1</sup>): The straw yield ranged from 3.87-3.93 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and 3.61- 4.32 t ha<sup>-1</sup> in Factor-1 and Factor-2 respectively. In the interaction of the above factors, the highest straw yield was obtained when forest litter was applied @ 9 t ha<sup>-1</sup> at 45 DBS (4.43 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) while the lowest straw yield was obtained from control (3.59 t ha<sup>-1</sup>). Application of forest litter at a rate of 3, 6 and 9 t ha<sup>-1</sup> gave a significantly higher straw yield than control, and this rate of increase was found to be by 3.32, 9.70 and 19.67%. This increased in the straw yield could be attributed to the higher dose of application of organic matter which helped in increasing the plant biomass production. It was also observed that application of forest litter at a rate of 3, 6 and 9 t ha<sup>-1</sup> gave a higher grain yield than control, and this rate of increase was found to be by 6.09, 12.17 and 22.17%, respectively. This increased in the straw yield could be attributed to the higher dose of application of organic matter which helped in increasing the straw yield. Biswal *et al.* (2021) also opined that application of organic matter (FYM and poultry manure) showed the highest straw yield.

### 4. Conclusion

The early incorporation of forest litter aids in increasing the soil fertility status, improves the physicochemical properties and provides good condition for plant growth resulting is better yield. Early addition of forest litter before sowing provides enough time for the organic matter to decompose which serves as the source of nutrients. Addition of forest litter year after year shows a promising effect in maintaining the soil fertility and providing residual nutrient benefits to the crops. Therefore, early and higher dose of application of forest litter along with proper management practices holds a promising future for upland rice cultivation.

### 5. Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Department of Soil and Water Conservation Nagaland: Kohima, for providing financial assistance for the research project.

### 6. References

- Babhulkar PS, Wandile RM, Badole WP, Balpande SS (2000). Residual effect of long-term application of FYM and fertilizers on soil properties (vertisols) and yields of soybean. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science. 48(1): 89-92.
- Bahadur L, Tiwari DD, Mishra J, Gupta BR (2013). Evaluation of integrated nutrient management options in rice (*Oryza sativa*) wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) cropping system in reclaimed sodic land. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 58(2): 137-145.
- Bajpai RK, Chitale S, Upadhyay SK, Urkurkar JS (2006).
  Long term studies on soil physico-chemical properties and productivity of rice-wheat system as influenced by INM in Inceptisol of Chhattisgarh.
  Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science. 54(1): 24-29.
- Biswal B, Meena SL, Babu S, Mandal BN, Shivay YS, Tahashildar M (2021). Productivity of upland rice (*Oryza sativa*) as affected by organic manure and lime. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 91(9): 1307-1310. https://doi.org/10.56093/ijas.v91i9.116075

100

- Bray RH, Kurtz LT (1945). Determination of total, organic and available forms of phosphorus in soils. Soil Science. 59(1): 39-46.
- Celik I (2005). Land-use effects on organic matter and physical properties of soil in a Southern Mediterranean highland of Turkey. Soil and Tillage Research. 83(2): 270-277. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2004.08.001
- Dass A, Sudhishri S, Lenka NK (2009). Integrated nutrient management for upland rice in eastern ghats of Orissa. Oryza. 46(3): 220-226.
- Eliartati E, Anggraini RS, Sofia A (2021). Yield Improvement of Upland Rice Planted between Oil Palm Using Organic Matter. Journal of Suboptimal Lands. 10(1): 104-112. https://doi.org/10.36706/JLSO.10.1.2021.532
- Forest Survey (2021). Ministry of Environment, forest and climate change. https://pib.gov.in/PressReleasePage
- Ginting J, Damanik BSJ, Sitanggang JM, Muluk M (2014). Effect of Soil Tillage Method, Organic Materials and Varieties on Growth and Production Of Upland Rice In The Area Of Immature Rubber Plantation. International Journal of Scientific & Technology Research. 3(11): 62-68.
- Gomez KA, Gomez AA (1984). Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research. John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- Humtsoe M, Chauhan BS (2005). Long term effect of nutrient management practices on soil fertility determinants on terraces land. Indian Agriculturist. 49: 53-64.
- Jackson ML (1973). Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi. 498.
- Lubis FZ, Rahmawati N, Hanum C (2020). Potential of upland rice yield based on tillers and leaf area on various organic matter as the observation variables. IOP Conference Series Earth and Environmental Science. 454(1):012184. https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/454/1/012184
- Majumdar SP, Singh RA (2000). Analysis of Soil Properties. Agrobios, New Delhi, India.
- Muthayya S, Sugimoto JD, Montgomery S, Maberly GF (2014). An overview of global rice production, supply, trade and consumption. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences. 1324: 7-14. https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas.12540
- Noble AD, Zenneck I, Randall PJ (1996). Leaf litter ash alkalinity and neutralisation of soil acidity. Plant and Soil. 179: 293-302. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00009340

- Rao UV, Ramu YR, Kumara CR, Reddy CR (2004). Effect of organic and inorganic sources of nitrogen on growth, yield, nitrogen uptake and economics of lowland rice. Madras Agricultural Journal. 91: 289-293.
- Sarwad IM, Guled MB, Gundlur SS (2005). Influence of integrated nutrient supply system for *rabi* sorghum chickpea crop rotation on crop yields and soil properties. Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 18(3): 673-679.
- Selvi D, Santhy P, Dhakshinamoorthy M (2003). Efficiency of long term integrated plant nutrient management on important soil properties of an Inceptisol. Madras Agricultural Journal. 90: 656-660.
- Singh R, Chandra S (2011). Performance of basmati rice (Oryza sativa) based cropping systems under different modes of nutrient management. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 81(4): 336-339.
- Singh RP, Yadav PK, Singh RK, Singh SN, Bisen MK, Singh J (2006). Effect of chemical fertilizer, FYM and biofertilizers on performance of rice and soil properties. Crop research (Hissar). 32: 282-285.
- Singh S, Singh RN, Janardan P, Singh BP (2006). Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield and uptake of nutrient by rice and soil fertility in rainfed upland. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science. 54(3): 327-330.
- Subbiah BV, Asija GL (1956). A rapid procedure for the estimation of available nitrogen in soils. Current Science. 25: 259-260.
- Surekha K, Reddy MN, Rao KV, Cruz PC (2004). Evaluation of crop residue management practices for improving yield, nutrient balance and soil health under intensive rice-rice system. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science. 52(4): 448-453.

Treatments	Organic carbon (%)	Available Nitrogen (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Available Phosphorus (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Available Potassium (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Soil pH	Cation exchange capacity [c mol (p <sup>+</sup> ) kg <sup>1</sup> ]	Bulk density (g cm <sup>-3</sup> )
Days before sow	ving						
<i>D</i> <sub>1</sub> : 45 <i>DBS</i>	3.16	577.80	23.17	163.73	5.11	17.98	1.05
D <sub>2</sub> : 30 DBS	3.19	556.20	23.52	162.67	5.14	17.63	1.06
$SEm \pm$	0.03	2.51	0.06	1.05	0.02	0.11	0.01
CD (P=0.05)	NS	11.31	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.02
Dose of applicat	tion			1	1		1
$F_0$ (Control)	2.99	502.45	20.37	138.95	5.01	15.76	1.10
$F_1: (3 \ tha^{-1})$	3.12	534.68	22.96	154.73	5.10	17.05	1.07
$F_2: (6 \ tha^{-1})$	3.18	565.26	24.65	167.85	5.16	18.26	1.04
$F_3: (9 \ tha^{-1})$	3.41	665.61	25.40	191.27	5.24	20.14	0.99
SEm±	0.04	3.64	0.12	1.06	0.04	0.19	0.00
CD (P=0.05)	0.12	10.80	0.35	3.16	0.11	0.56	0.01

**Table 1.** Effect of time and dose of application of forest litter on organic carbon, available nitrogen, available phosphorus, available potassium, soil pH, cation exchange capacity and bulk density

**Table 2.** Interaction effects of time and dose of application of forest litter on organic carbon, available nitrogen, available phosphorus, available potassium, soil pH, cation exchange capacity and bulk density

Treatments	Organic carbon (%)	Available Nitrogen (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Available Phosphorus (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Available Potassium (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Soil pH	Cation exchange capacity [c mol (p <sup>+</sup> ) kg <sup>-1</sup> ]	Bulk density (g cm <sup>-3</sup> )
$D_{I}F_{0}$	2.99	514.30	20.14	140.01	4.98	15.96	1.09
$D_I F_I$	3.07	542.52	22.68	154.73	5.08	16.99	1.07
$D_1F_2$	3.16	584.86	24.57	167.07	5.13	18.48	1.04
$D_1F_3$	3.42	669.53	25.31	193.09	5.28	20.48	0.99
$D_2F_0$	3.00	490.60	20.61	137.88	5.05	15.55	1.11
$D_2F_1$	3.17	526.84	23.25	154.72	5.13	17.12	1.08
$D_2F_2$	3.21	545.66	24.73	168.63	5.20	18.05	1.05
$D_2F_3$	3.40	661.69	25.27	189.45	5.20	19.80	1.00
SEm±	0.06	5.14	0.17	1.50	0.05	0.27	0.01
CD (P=0.05)	0.17	15.27	NS	4.47	NS	NS	0.02

Table 3. Effect of time and dose of application of forest litter on plant height of rice and number of tillers per plant

Treatments	Plant height (cm)				
Treaments	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	tillers plant <sup>-1</sup>	
Days before sowing					
D <sub>1</sub> : 45 DBS	37.25	72.57	101.42	3.97	

D <sub>2</sub> : 30 DBS	37.07	72.44	101.40	3.81
SEm±	0.10	0.30	0.69	0.08
CD (P=0.05)	0.46	1.35	3.11	0.34
Dose of application				
$F_{\theta}(Control)$	32.52	63.70	95.99	3.19
$F_{I}:(3 \ tha^{-1})$	35.63	70.28	98.41	3.63
$F_2: (6 \ tha^{-1})$	38.73	75.70	102.44	4.19
$F_{3}:(9 \ tha^{-1})$	41.74	80.34	108.79	4.56
SEm±	0.14	0.25	0.36	0.10
CD (P=0.05)	0.41	0.75	1.07	0.29

Table 4. Interaction effect of time and dose of application of forest litter on plant height of rice and number of tillers per plant

Ta a star auto	Plant height (cm)			
Treatments	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	tillers plant <sup>1</sup>
$D_l F_0$	32.46	64.14	96.20	3.13
$D_i F_i$	35.84	69.55	98.64	3.50
$D_1F_2$	38.78	75.91	101.00	4.25
$D_1F_3$	41.91	80.68	109.83	4.75
$D_2F_0$	32.57	63.25	95.78	3.25
$D_2F_1$	35.42	71.00	98.19	3.25
$D_2F_2$	38.69	75.50	103.88	4.13
$D_2F_3$	41.58	80.00	107.75	4.38
SEm±	0.20	0.36	0.51	0.13
CD (P=0.05)	0.59	1.06	1.51	0.37

Table 5. Effect of time and dose of application of forest litter on grain and straw yield of upland rice

Treatments	<i>Grain yield (t ha<sup>-1</sup>)</i>	Straw yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	
Days before sowing			
$D_1$ : 45 DBS	2.57	3.93	
D <sub>2</sub> : 30 DBS	2.50	3.87	
SEm±	0.02	0.04	
CD (P=0.05)	0.07	0.20	
Dose of application			
$F_0(Control)$	2.30	3.61	
$F_{1}:(3 \ tha^{-1})$	2.44	3.73	
$F_2$ : (6 tha <sup>-1</sup> )	2.58	3.96	
$F_{3}$ : (9 tha <sup>-1</sup> )	2.81	4.32	
SEm±	0.02	0.03	
CD (P=0.05)	0.05	0.10	

Table 6. Interaction effects of time and dose of application of forest litter on grain and straw yield of upland ric
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Treatments	Grain yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Straw yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )
$D_i F_0$	2.36	3.64
$D_i F_i$	2.46	3.75
$D_1F_2$	2.60	3.92
$D_1F_3$	2.86	4.43
$D_2F_0$	2.23	3.59
$D_2F_1$	2.42	3.70
$D_2F_2$	2.57	4.01
$D_2F_3$	2.77	4.21
SEm±	0.02	0.05
CD (P=0.05)	0.07	0.14